

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/608,279	0	06/27/2003	James A. François	CG-851D	8515
	27868	27868 7590 10/13/2006			EXAMINER	
	JOHN F. S.	-			NGO, LIEN	JEN M
	MIDDLETC	N & REU	TLINGER			
2500 BROWN & WILLIAMSON TOWER					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	LOUISVILL	E, KY 4	0202		3754	

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Community	10/608,279	FRANCOIS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	LIEN TM NGO	3754					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final: nce except for formal matters, pro						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-3,5-9,11-13,15-19,21-23 and 25-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 27 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5-9,11-13,15-19,21-23,25 and 26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers		•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate					

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 17-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The closure comprises both the auxiliary thread and the principal extending from equivalent radial locations of the side wall and the side wall having different diameter, which is not supported in the specification. For example:

The closure in Fig. 2 of the present application comprises the auxiliary thread 20 and the principal thread 40 extending from equivalent radial locations of the side wall, but the side wall does not have different diameter

The closure in figs. 5 and 6, comprises the auxiliary thread 520 and the principal thread 540 not extending from equivalent radial locations of the side wall, but the side wall have different diameter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 9, 11, 22, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Von Till (2,130,749). Von Till discloses, in fig. 6, a molded closure comprising a principal helical thread 10 and an auxiliary thread 14 extending from substantially equivalent radial locations of a cylindrical wall; wherein a depth of the principal thread being at least about twice a depth of the auxiliary thread. The auxiliary thread 4 may be separate from the principle thread 10 (see fig. 6), even preferably the auxiliary thread may be merged with the principle thread 10 as desired (col. 2 of page 2, lines 24-26).

The introductory statement of intended use of "an auxiliary thread being to inward directed to engage a mold core thread and enable removal of said closure form said mold core after a short shot" has been carefully considered, but deemed not to impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over the Von Till closure, in which the smaller and separate auxiliary thread is capable of engage a mold core thread and enable removal of said closure form said mold core after a short shot. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner which

Art Unit: 3754

a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ 2d 1647 (1987).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2, 8, 12,13, 15, 16 and 23 or (1-3, 5-9, 11-13, 15, 16, 22, 23, 25 and 26) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Von Till (2,130,749) in view of Schmalz (1,844,442).

Vol Till does not disclose the auxiliary thread being helical, and to the degree if it can be argued that Vol Till does not teach the auxiliary thread engaging a mold score thread.

Schmalz teaches a mold closure having an auxiliary thread being helical and engaging a mold core thread.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the closure of Vol Till having the auxiliary thread being helical and engaging a mold core thread, as taught by

Art Unit: 3754

Schmalz, in order to facilitate of firmly engaging with a liner, and to prevent of distorting any of the threads when the closure is removed from the mold.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 27 is allowed.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 7/31/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive as alleged by examiner in the rejections above.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LIEN TM NGO whose telephone number is 571-272-4545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM -6:00 PM.

Art Unit: 3754

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, KEVIN SHAVER can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LIEN TM NGO Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754 Page 6

October 3, 2006